

METHOD OF PAYMENT (check one)	FEE CALCULATION (continued)	
	ADDITIONAL FEES	
1. The Commissioner is hereby authorized to:	Fee Description Fee Paid	
[ ] Charge indicated fees	Surcharge-late filing fee or oath	
[X] Charge additional fees	Surcharge - late provisional filing fee or cover sheet	
[X] Credit overpayments	Extension for response within first month 110	
to the account of DANN, DORFMAN, HERRELL & SKILLMAN	Notice of Appeal	
Deposit Account Number <u>04-1406</u>	Filing a brief in support of an appeal	
	Request for oral hearing	
Payment enclosed:	Petition to revive unavoidably abandoned appl.	
Check in the amount of \$110	Petition to revive unintentionally abandoned appl.	
	Issue fee	
FEE CALCULATION	Petitions to the Commissioner	
1. FILING FEE Fee	Petitions related to provisional applications	
Fee Description	Submission of Information Disclosure Stmt.	
Utility filing fee	Recording each patent assignment per property	
Design filing fee	Other fee (specify)	
Plant filing fee	·	
Reissue filing fee	SUBTOTAL (3)\$110	
SUBTOTAL (1)\$0		
2. Claims		
Paid Extra Fee		
Total Claims $47 - 47 = 0 \times 18 = 0$		
Independent Claims 8 -8 = 0 x 84 = 0		
Multiple Dependent		
(First presentation)		
SUBTOTAL (2)		

Submitted	By:
Typed or	

Printed Name Stephen H. Eland

Reg. Number 41,010

Date <u>April 5, 2002</u>

Deposit Account User ID 04-1406

Signature



## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re the Application of

DiBiaso et al

Application No. 09/542,418

Attorney Docket No. 0412-P02120US0

Filed: April 4, 2000

For: System and Method for Automated

**Document Processing** 

Examiner: Nguyen, T.

Group Art Unit: 3653

RECEIVED

APR 1 9 2002

GROUP 3600

## **RESPONSE TO OFFICE ACTION**

## **IN THE CLAIMS**

Please amend claim 39 as set forth below. A marked-up copy of claim 39 is provided in Attachment A, which shows that changes made to the claim.

 $\int \int$ 

39. The method of claim 38 wherein the step of correlating the image data comprises combining the image data for the two portions into a single image data file.

## REMARKS

In an Official Action dated December 5, 2001, the pending claims were rejected as anticipated by or obvious in light of Stevens et al. 5,464,099. Applicants request that the Examiner reconsider the rejection in light of the following discussion.

Preliminarily, Applicants note that claim 39 has been amended to affect an editorial revision, and not for a reason related to patentability. Amended claim 39 now depends from claim 38 rather than claim 36 to provide clear antecedent basis for the features in claim 39.